

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/688,089 | 10/17/2003 | Sandra J. Dagan | 86865SMR | 9065 |
| 7590 03/27/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| Paul A. Leipold | | | LEE, SIN J | |
| Patent Legal Staff | | | ADWARD | DADED AND OPEN |
| Eastman Kodak Company | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 343 State Street | | | 1752 | |
| Rochester, NY 14650-2201 | | | DATE MAILED: 03/27/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Notice of Abandonment | 10/688,089 | DAGAN ET AL. | | | |
| House of Abandonnian | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Sin J. Lee | 1752 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of 0. (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does | failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on | <u> </u> | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (| Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); | | | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See | | mpt at a proper reply, to the non- | | | |
| (d) 🛮 No reply has been received. | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). | 5). s received on (with a Certification | ate of Mailing or Transmission dated | | | |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. | | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requallowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on | • | | | | |
| after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | |
| (a, <u> </u> | | | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the assi | ignee of the entire interest, or all of | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity under 37 CFR | | | |
| The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim | | e the period for seeking court review | | | |
| 7. 🔲 The reason(s) below: | | | | | |
| Abandonment of this application was confirmed by Ms. Sarah Meeks Roberts (attorney for applicants) on 3/16/2006. | | | | | |
| | | Sin J. Lee | | | |
| | | Sin J. Lee Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1752 | | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra ninimize any negative effects on patent term. | w the holding of abandonment under 37 (| | | | |